

# High School Geometry: The Effectiveness of Traditional versus Computer-Aided Learning Environments (Poster)

**Lila Abu-Ahmed**  
lailaabu@zahav.net.il

**Avishai Antonovsky**  
avishan@openu.ac.il

**Paul Gorsky**  
paulgo@openu.ac.il

The Open University of Israel

## Introduction

Research on instructional strategies has found differences in learning effectiveness between technology-based and conventional delivery media (see Sivin-Kachala & Bialo, 1994, who reviewed 133 media comparison studies). Other researchers, however, have reported that much research in this field is flawed, rendering many of the conclusions questionable (see Joy & Garcia, 2000; Rachal, 1993; Reeves, 1993). We carried out a highly controlled experiment to determine if a modern, interactive, graphical software tool for teaching geometry did in fact achieve better learning outcomes than traditional methods. Specifically, we asked: What impact did instructional media have on students' levels of geometric thought and on their attitudes toward geometry?

## Method

Participants were 36 9<sup>th</sup> grade, middle-class students from Nazareth, assigned randomly into two groups. Pre and post tests for geometric reasoning (van-Hiele, 1986) and attitudes toward geometry (1990 פטקיי) were administered. Two learning environments, employing the same instructional strategy, were created. Resources for each were:

1. Traditional: text, lectures, paper and pencil drill and practice.
2. Computer-aided: text, lectures, individual computer based drill and practice.

Participants' personal characteristics, such as prior subject-matter knowledge and academic ability, were equally distributed by random assignment. Procedural variables, such as instructional strategy, media familiarity and time-on-task were the same for both groups and thus controlled. The same teacher taught both groups, ensuring identical teacher characteristics for all participants, although this allowed for some degree of experimenter effects.

## Findings

T-tests found no statistically significant differences in pre test scores for attitudes ( $d = 0.16$ ) and geometric reasoning ( $d = 0.46$ ), and in post test scores for attitudes ( $d = 0.29$ ). However, a statistically significant difference ( $p = .03$ ) was found for post test geometric reasoning: the computer-aided environment group did better ( $M = 74.72$ ,  $SD = 11.04$ ) than the traditional environment group ( $M = 66.67$ ,  $SD = 10.43$ ),  $d = 0.75$ . Given the initial difference between groups, the post test effect size may be misleading.

## Conclusions

1. Higher post test scores in geometric reasoning may be associated with computer efficiency: students in the computer-aided group may have completed more exercises than their counterparts who used paper and pencil methodologies.

2. Whether or not the difference in reasoning skills is of practical significance is open to debate.
3. Instructional designers should be wary of claims about the alleged efficiencies of learning technologies.
4. We have shown that not only quasi-experimental designs but also true experimental designs can be carried out in schools, generating valid data.

## References

פסקין ד' (1990). השפעת השימוש במחשב ללמידה עצמית, במערך למידה יחידנית ושל זוגות, על תפיסה והבנת מושגים בגיאומטריה איקלידית, ברמות חשיבה שונות, בקרב תלמידי בי"ס תיכון. חיבור לשם קבלת תואר ד"ר לפילוסופיה, אוניברסיטת ת"א.

Joy, E., & Garcia, F. (2000). Measuring learning effectiveness: A new look at no-significant-difference findings. *Journal of Asynchronous Learning Networks*, 4, 33-39.

Rachal, J. D. (1993). Computer assisted instruction in adult basic and secondary education: A review of the experimental literature 1984-1992. *Adult Education Quarterly*, 43, 165-172.

Reeves, T. C. (1993). Pseudoscience in computer-based instruction: The case of learner control research. *Journal of Computer Based Instruction*, 20, 39-46.

Sivin-Kachala, J., & Bialo, E. (1994). *Report on the effectiveness of technology in schools, 1990-1994*. New York: Interactive Educational Systems Design, Inc.

van-Hiele, P. M. (1986). *Structure and insight: A theory of mathematics education*. Orlando: Academic Press.